,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/760,434	JOSEPH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher A. Revak	2131
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>response after non-final</u>		
(1) Christopher A. Revak.	(3)	
(2) <u>George Lee</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 April 2005	Time: <u>10:40am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1 and 11		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se directly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief section of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. 	tion. The examiner will provide a wi	the interview, since the interview
USL 4/29/05		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	applicant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner noted that the applicant argued the limitation "without negotiating a different security association" that was not claimed. The examiner contacted the applicant's representative in an attempt to incorporate this limitations into the claims. The applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment to add these limitations to claims 1 and 11.